

VSP Public Comment

From: McDannold, Bruce
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 3:43 PM
To: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: RE: CALLER COMMENTS REGARDING VOTING SYSTEMS.doc

-----Original Message-----

From: Guthrie, Darlene
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2005 3:27 PM
To: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: CALLER COMMENTS REGARDING VOTING SYSTEMS.doc

CALLER COMMENTS REGARDING VOTING SYSTEMS

I think from their history in Iowa and Florida that these machines can be fixed. I don't like that and the secretary should not approve them. The former secretary did not approve of them and that might be part of why he is no longer there."
David G. Miller, 4780 Euclid Ave., Sacramento CA. 95822, June 20, 2005

It is a mistake to use Diebold machines. Their equipment is faulty and has been shown to be hack able. Excessive lobbyist fees have been paid to get these machines approved. Much of the money has been paid to Mr. Ortega Jr. One hundred thousand dollars was paid by Diebold to influence the decision. Even the appearance of impropriety is grounds for suspect. On black box voting.org, documentation is presented to prove the machines are not secure. Obviously, this is counter productive to instill confidence in the voters and they will not get it with Diebold." Roger Godin, Berkeley, CA, June 21, 2005

Please make sure we have a paper trail to ensure a secure vote."
Tom English, June 21, 2005

I am very strongly against the Diebold machines. Do not choose Diebold."
Luth McGrew, 2037 Palos Verdes Drive West, Palos Verdes Estates, CA, 90274
June 23, 2005

I want the secretary to be sure there is an accessible verified trail on achievable paper. And I want the ballots handled and counted by trained staff. We need voter confidentiality." Michele Smith, June 23, 2005

Do not certify Diebold. They should have a paper trail and be easy to do hand count." Susie Shannon, June 23, 2005

The machines need to leave a paper trail or use paper ballots because there is too much confusion now. Ron Tamm, 15-383-6216, June 24, 2005